Economics of European and International Integration

Rosella Nicolini

UAB

What are we learning today ?

Does higher productivity entails also competitiveness ?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Evidence





◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Background: Ricardo Model

Consider a world economy with two countries: **Home and Foreign.**

Asterisk denote variables related to the Foreign country.

 Ricardian models differ from other neoclassical trade models in that there only is one factor of production.

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト - ヨ - の々ぐ

Background: Ricardo Model

Consider a world economy with two countries: **Home and Foreign.**

Asterisk denote variables related to the Foreign country.

- Ricardian models differ from other neoclassical trade models in that there only is one factor of production.
- If a factor is perfectly mobile then its return will be equalized across countries (and hence not generate comparative advantage)



Ricardo Model (III)

 Previous supply-side assumptions are all we need to make qualitative predictions about pattern of trade.

Let p(z) denote the price of good z under free trade. Profitt-maximization requires:

 $p(z) - wa(z) \leq 0$, with equality if z is produced at Home

 $p(z) - w * a * (z) \le 0$, with equality if z is produced Abroad

Theorem

Proposition: There exists $\tilde{z} \in [0, 1]$ such that Home produces all goods $z < \tilde{z}$ and Foreign produces all goods $\tilde{z} > z$

Fundamentals

 Competitiveness: being able to export and gaining market share in the international market

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Labour productivity vs unit labor costs

Fundamentals

 Competitiveness: being able to export and gaining market share in the international market

- Labour productivity vs unit labor costs
- Total factor productivity (TFP)

Labor productivity

Labor productivity measures the capacity of one unit of labor (in one unit of time) to produce output:

$\frac{Y}{L}$

when working time varies, we compute the labor productivity per-hour worked:

$\frac{Y}{L * H}$

Labor productivity

In the growth analysis labor productivity is important because of the following relationship:

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

GDP per-capita =

Productivity per hour worked ×

Total hours worked x Participation rate x Share of adult population to be able to work

Fundamentals: unit labor costs

The unit labor costs are the costs of one unit of production and their change is inversely proportional to that of productivity. There are several way to compute them: the most natural one is:

$$ULC = \frac{Compensation}{Y}$$

Fundamentals: productivity and competitiveness

1. Productivity is a measure of the efficiency in production

Fundamentals: productivity and competitiveness

- 1. Productivity is a measure of the efficiency in production
- That efficiency drives competitiveness either on international and national markets (see Helpman, Melitz, Yeats, 2004 or Melitz and Redding (2012)

Fundamentals: productivity and competitiveness

- 1. Productivity is a measure of the efficiency in production
- That efficiency drives competitiveness either on international and national markets (see Helpman, Melitz, Yeats, 2004 or Melitz and Redding (2012)

3. What's happens to Europe ?

How does productivity changed in the EU and US in time ?

Figure 1: Annual labor productivity growth (%) in the European Union (13 countries) and the United States, 1990-2004. (Source: OECD)



D. Jorgenson et al. (2008)

Table 1

Sources of U.S. Output and Productivity Growth 1959-2006

(average annual growth rates)

	1959-	1959_	1973-	1995_	2000-
	2006	1973	1995	2000	2006
Private output	3.58	4.18	3.08	4.77	3.01
Hours worked	1.44	1.36	1.59	2.07	0.51
Average labor productivity	2.14	2.82	1.49	2.70	2.50
Contribution of capital deepening	1.14	1.40	0.85	1.51	1.26
Information technology	0.43	0.21	0.40	1.01	0.58
Non-information technology	0.70	1.19	0.45	0.49	0.69
Contribution of labor quality	0.26	0.28	0.25	0.19	0.31
Total factor productivity	0.75	1.14	0.39	1.00	0.92
Information technology	0.25	0.09	0.25	0.58	0.38
Non-information technology	0.49	1.05	0.14	0.42	0.54
Share attributed to information technology	0.32	0.11	0.43	0.59	0.38

van Ark et al. (2008)

Figure 1

Total Economy GDP per Hour Worked and GDP per Capita in EU-15, 1960–2006 (relative to the United States)



・ロト ・ 雪 ト ・ ヨ ト

э

Inklaar et al. (2008)

Table 1. Growth rates of GDP per hour worked in European countries and the US, 1980-2006 (average annual growth in %)

	1980-1995	1995-2006
EU-15	2.3	1.4
United States	1.3	2.2
Austria	2.4	2.3
Belgium	2.0	1.4
Denmark	2.5	1.2
Finland	3.0	2.5
France	2.5	1.8
Germany	2.4	1.7
Greece	0.9	2.5
Ireland	3.6	4.2
Italy	2.1	0.4
Luxembourg	2.6	1.9
Netherlands	1.7	1.5
Portugal	2.1	1.7
Spain	3.0	-0.2
Sweden	1.3	2.5
United Kingdom	2.6	2.0
Average of 15 EU countries	2.3	1.8
Standard deviation	0.7	1.0

the second secon

Inklaar et al. (2008)

Table 1. Growth rates of GDP per hour worked in European countries and the US, 1980-2006 (average annual growth in %)

	1980-1995	1995-2006
EU-15	2.3	1.4
United States	1.3	2.2
Austria	2.4	2.3
Belgium	2.0	1.4
Denmark	2.5	1.2
Finland	3.0	2.5
France	2.5	1.8
Germany	2.4	1.7
Greece	0.9	2.5
Ireland	3.6	4.2
Italy	2.1	0.4
Luxembourg	2.6	1.9
Netherlands	1.7	1.5
Portugal	2.1	1.7
Spain	3.0	-0.2
Sweden	1.3	2.5
United Kingdom	2.6	2.0
Average of 15 EU countries	2.3	1.8
Standard deviation	0.7	1.0

the second secon

Inklaar et al. (2008)

Table 2. Share in GDP and average annual labor productivity growth in European countries and the US, market services, 1980-2004 (%)

	Share	Share of market services in GDP (%)		Growth of value added per hour worked	
	1980	1995	2004	1980-1995	1995-2004
Austria	37	40	43	2.1	0.7
Belgium	32	40	44	1.4	1.2
Denmark	34	38	40	3.0	0.9
Finland	30	34	36	2.5	1.7
France	36	38	41	1.9	1.3
Germany	32	38	40	2.3	0.8
Italy	36	40	42	0.6	0.3
Netherlands	34	42	46	0.3	2.4
Spain	31	38	41	1.0	0.4
ÚK	33	41	49	1.9	2.5
US	37	41	44	1.4	3.3
Average	34	39	42	1.7	1.4
Standard deviation	2.4	2.2	3.3	0.8	1.0

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

Inklaar et al. (2008)

Table 5	The share	of high-skilled	workers in	market	services	employmen	f (%)	
rabic 5.	rne snare	or ingu-shined	workers m	mainer	SCIVICCS	empioymen	L (/U)	

	1980	1995	2004
Austria	3.3	7.6	10.9
Belgium	6.7	12.0	15.5
Denmark	2.7	5.8	8.5
Finland	14.6	29.8	30.7
France	6.3	11.9	16.1
Germany	3.7	6.6	8.0
Italy	4.7	7.4	14.1
Netherlands	3.8	8.6	11.2
Spain	5.3	12.1	19.4
ÚK	8.0	12.8	18.0
US	19.4	26.9	30.6
Average	7.1	12.9	16.6
Standard deviation	5.2	8.1	7.8

The Spanish case is well known in economic literature because it is the case of economic growth without productivity growth



The Spanish case is well known in economic literature because it is the case of economic growth without productivity growth

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

• Economic growth has been supported with increasing labor.

The Spanish case is well known in economic literature because it is the case of economic growth without productivity growth

The Spanish case is well known in economic literature because it is the case of economic growth without productivity growth

Economic growth has been supported with increasing labor.

- The Spanish case is well known in economic literature because it is the case of economic growth without productivity growth
- Economic growth has been supported with increasing labor.
- Remark: remember data in class 1 (Spain lost part of their international competitiveness)

Table 3. Average annual growth rate in employment by sector (%)

Industry		Services		
	1990-1995	1995-2001	1990–1995	1995-2001
Spain	-2.9	5.4	1.2	5.0

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Source: EUROSTAT - calculus: author.

Table 9. Correlation between labour productivity and share of employment in industry and services

Industry	pi7786	pi8693	pi9502
ei7786	-0.2420		
ei8693		-0.7868* 0.0002	* *
ei9502			-0.7706*** 0.0003

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Corrigon

Evidence: Bloom and van Reenen (2007, QJE)

 Persistent evidence of differences in productivity across countries (and forms). It is argued it is due to differences in management.

Evidence: Bloom and van Reenen (2007, QJE)

- Persistent evidence of differences in productivity across countries (and forms). It is argued it is due to differences in management.
- They develop a measure on management performance for a sample of US and European firms

Evidence: Bloom and van Reenen (2007, QJE)

- Persistent evidence of differences in productivity across countries (and forms). It is argued it is due to differences in management.
- They develop a measure on management performance for a sample of US and European firms
- They take care details about characteristics of the firms: market competition and firm ownership are important differences in management practices (here ownership matters because they are referring to medium size firms)



▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

(source: ERS, Lectures)

Dependent variable	Sales (in Ln)	Sales (in Ln)	Sales (in Ln)
Estimation ¹	OLS	OLS	OLS
Firms	All	All	All
Management _i	0.085 (0.025)	0.034 (0.011)	0.042 (0.012)
Ln(Labor) _{it}	0.999 (0.014)	0.539 (0.021)	0.540 (0.021)
Ln(Capital) _{it}		0.103 (0.013)	0.104 (0.013)
Ln(Materials) it		0.362 (0.020)	0.354 (0.020)
Controls ¹	No	Yes	Yes
Noise controls	No	No	Yes
Observations	6,267	5,350	5,350
Firms	732	709	709

Froductivity. Management practices

(source: ERS, Lectures)

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ



COUNTRY LEVEL MANAGEMENT SCORES*

US FIRMS ARE ALSO BETTER IN EUROPE

Average management score by firm type in UK, France and Germany* # in sample Domestic 3.13 379 Non-US multinational subsidiary 3.58 20 (source: ERS, Lectures)

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ



(source: ERS, Lectures)

AGE AND MANAGEMENT PRACTICES (KERNEL¹)



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

(source: ERS, Lectures)